1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division	
3		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	NORTHERN DIS	ES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
14 15 16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff,)	CR No. 12-mj-70647 MAG STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
17 18 19	v.) RICHARD KEITH GOLSTON,) Defendant.)	ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME
20		
21	The Court has set November 30, 2012 as the date for a preliminary hearing or	
22	arraignment.	
23	The parties hereby stipulate to move the preliminary hearing or arraignment date to	
24	December 20, 2012, and they request that the Court extend the time limits provided by Federal	
25	Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 3161. This extension of time is necessary for	
26	the parties to explore possible pre-indictment resolution, to produce and to receive discovery,	
27 28	and for effective preparation of counsel.	
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME CR 12-mj-70647 MAG	

Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d), the defendants and the government consent to the extension of time, and the parties represent that good cause exists for this extension, including the effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). For the same reasons, the parties also request that the Court exclude from the time limits of 18 U.S.C. § 3161 the period from the date of this Order through December 20, 2012. The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO STIPULATED:

MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney

DATED: November 28, 2012

KEVIN J. BARRY Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: November 28, 2012

ELIZABETH M. FALK
Attorney for RICHARD GOLSTON

(PROPOSED) ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court sets December 20, 2012, as the date for the arraignment or preliminary hearing. The Court finds that extension of time limits applicable under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) from the date of this Order through December 20, 2012, is warranted; that exclusion of this period from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that the failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the defendant and for

Case 3:12-mj-70647-MRGD Document 34 Filed 11/29/12 Page 3 of 3

the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: November 29, 2012 THE HON. LAUREL United States Magistrate Judge